



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,607	01/05/2004	Yi-Jen Wu	E0523-00038(AU0305032)	2994
8933	7590	08/14/2006	EXAMINER	
DUANE MORRIS, LLP			PATEL, ASHOK	
IP DEPARTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET				2879
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196				

DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/751,607	WU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ashok Patel	2879	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 June 2006.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>062606</u> .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2879

1. In view of applicant's arguments regarding premature finality of the last office action, the Examiner withdraws Finality of the previous office action.
2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2 and 3-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1, 2 and 4-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claim 1, line 5: the limitation "a plurality of non-circular pads....." adds a new matter. The original disclosure does not mention plurality of pads being "non-circular" shaped.

The original disclosure discloses the pads with definite shapes, such as curved, tapered etc. The term "non-circular" is a negative limitation term, which encompasses so many different shapes (such as pentagon, octagon, star, zigzag etc.), which are not supported by the originally presented disclosure. 37 C.F.R. 2173.05(i). The Examiner therefore does not give a patentable weight to the "non-circular" shape of the pad as recited in claim 1 for action on merits.

Claims 2, and 4-12 are necessarily rejected since they depend upon claim 1.

5. Claim 11 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 4. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

6. Applicant is advised that should claim 4 be found allowable, claim 11 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is

Art Unit: 2879

proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Biazzo et al (USPN 4164678, of records).

Regarding claim 1, Biazzo discloses an electrode (Figure 4) including a plurality of bus line conductors (R1j) having a first width, a plurality of pads (P1lij), each having a first section (at full curvature point) having a maximum width that is greater than the first width, each pad having a second section (below the full curvature point) that is narrower than the maximum width, the second section of each pad intersecting a corresponding one of the bus line conductors.

As to claims 2 and 7, as shown in Figure 4, Biazzo et al disclose the second section with a gradually increasing width or gradually increasing line width.

Art Unit: 2879

As to claims 4 and 11, Biazzo et al disclose the second section of having a curved profile (Figure 4).

9. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Admitted prior ad (applicant's admitted prior art, Figure 5).

Applicant's admitted prior art Figure 5 discloses an electrode including: a plurality of bus line conductors (104) having a first width, a plurality of pads (102) each having a first section (a middle bigger portion of the rectangular shape of the pad 102) having a maximum width that is greater than the first width, each of the pads having a second section (the outermost left or right side smaller portion of the pad 102) that is narrower than the maximum width, the second section of each of the pads intersecting a corresponding one of the bus line conductors.

As to claims 2, 4, 7 and 11, applicant's admitted prior art disclose the second (outermost smaller) section of the pad having a gradually increasing width (due to the curved configuration).

As to claim 12, applicant's admitted prior art disclose the second (outermost smaller) section of the pad having a straight profile.

Art Unit: 2879

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

a. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

11. Claims 5, 6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Biazzo et al (USPN 4164678, as applied to claim 1).

Biazzo et al do not disclose the second section having tapered profile, straight tapered profile, abruptly increased line width, two tapered profiles, and the widest section having pointed profile as recited in claims 5, 6 and 8-10. However, these claimed profiles are variances of claimed profiles (i.e., a gradually increasing width or gradually increasing line width, two curved profile, a straight profile), which are already disclosed by Biazzo et al (as mentioned earlier in this office action). Therefore, these different variances (i.e., shape of

Art Unit: 2879

pad) are design alternative. Alternatively, theses limitations are not deemed patentable since the applicant's disclosure fails to show such limitations solving any particular problem or to yielding any unobvious advantage that is not within the scope of the teachings applied. Therefore, such limitations would be a matter of design alternative to one of ordinary skill in the art.

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ashok Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-2456. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Ashok Patel
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2879